Dentists' Self-evaluated Ability in Diagnosing and Updating About Pulpotomy

Beatriz Della Terra Mouco Garrido^a, Luciana Lourenço Ribeiro Vitor^b, Thiago Cruvinel^a, Maria Aparecida Andrade Moreira Machado^{a,c}, Thais Marchini Oliveira^{a,c}, Natalino Lourenço Neto^{a*}

^a Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Orthodontics and Community Dentistry, Discipline of Pediatric Dentistry, Bauru

School of Dentistry – University of São Paulo, Bauru, Brazil

^b Health Science Center, Dentistry Course, Sacred Heart University, Bauru, Brazil

^c Hospital for the Rehabilitation of Craniofacial Anomalies, University of São Paulo, Bauru, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 December 2021 Received in revised form 26 January 2022 Accepted 8 March 2022 Available online xxx

Key words: Pulpotomy paediatric dentistry Deciduous tooth Surveys and questionnaires

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to self-evaluate the knowledge of different dental professionals' profiles in Brazil on diagnosing and indicating pulpotomy in primary teeth and how these groups updated on this theme.

Methods: Three groups (G1: professors, G2: specialists, and G3: professionals) answered an online questionnaire containing 20 questions and returned 416 questionnaires. Data were subjected to Chi-square associative tests and t tests, with P < .05.

Results: G1 and G2 reported to indicate pulpotomy, highlighting the tendency of these groups towards seeking updates on this subject. All 3 groups used academic materials for updating. However, G2 had a high demand for updates through congresses, whilst G3 had a high demand for updates through social media (Google and Google Scholar). The most cited indication by G1 and G2 was "accidental pulp exposure" and by G3 was "teeth with extensive carious lesions that have involved the pulp with radiographic confirmation." All 3 groups opted for pulpotomy to keep the tooth in the arch. Thus, G1 and G2 are the groups who are more updated on the subject, using mainly academic materials, which can be associated with the fact that such groups indicated pulpotomy in primary teeth. The lower interest in searching about pulpotomy in G3 can be understood as a nonclinical application of the topic for this group.

Conclusions: Professionals who work directly with paediatric dentistry (professors or specialists) felt more capable of diagnosing and treating cases of pulpotomy. In addition, most of the professionals used scientifically based sources for getting information and actualisation on the subject of pulp therapies.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of FDI World Dental Federation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

Dental caries in children is a public health issue because it affects thousands, especially in developing countries.¹⁻³ Besides caries, dental trauma can also involve the pulp, either reversibly or irreversibly.^{4,5} Amongst the different vital pulp therapy techniques on primary teeth, pulpotomy is widely used and consists of removing the coronal pulp and maintaining the vital root

E-mail address: natalinoneto@usp.br (N. Lourenço Neto). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2022.03.002 pulp, thereby maintaining the vital pulp until physiologic tooth resorption. $^{6\mathchar`-10}$

Although pulpotomy of primary teeth has been studied for many years, it still causes many controversies and discussions either due to the difficulty that many dentists have in diagnosing the pulp condition correctly or the doubts regarding the different materials used for capping, protecting, and repairing the pulp remnant.¹¹⁻¹⁵ Both the diagnosis and the material directly affect the success of the technique.

Due to the continuous discussion on the subject and the different existing protocols for vital pulp therapy, especially pulpotomy, this study aimed to self-evaluate the knowledge of different dental professionals' profiles in Brazil in the diagnosis and indications for pulpotomy in primary teeth.

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Orthodontics and Public Health, Bauru School of Dentistry–University of São Paulo, Alameda Dr. Octávio Pinheiro Brisolla, 9-75, Bauru, São Paulo, 17012-901, Brazil.

^{0020-6539/© 2022} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of FDI World Dental Federation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

GARRIDO ET AL.

Materials and methods

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (Protocol number CAAE 43,951,215.0.0000.5417).

A 20-question self-administered electronic questionnaire was developed based on the inputs of selected researchers in discrete review rounds and was applied to determine the profile of the participant and the knowledge on vital pulp therapies in primary teeth. The questionnaire was hosted online using the Google Forms tool (Appendix 1). Participants were divided into 3 groups: paediatric dentist professors (G1), nonprofessorial paediatric dentist specialists (G2), and other dentists not belonging to any of the previous groups, (G3).

The link containing the questionnaire was sent via email, social media, and communication applications to the paediatric dentistry professors, all paediatric dentists duly registered in the Federal Council of Dentistry, and general dentists/specialists in other areas. The participants remained anonymous.

Data were tabulated and analysed based on their normality and homogeneity. The groups were compared using the Chi-square tests, with a 5% level of significance.

Results

After removing possible duplicated questionnaires, the total number of questionnaires evaluated was 416, of which 91 were from G1, 109 from G2, and 216 from G3.

The question "Do you feel able (theoretically/technically) to indicate pulpotomy in primary teeth?" was associated with the following factors: groups (G1, G2, and G3) (Figure A). G1



0% feel able does not feel able

Fig – A, Self-assessment of the suitability for pulpotomy in relation to the different study groups (G1: paediatric dentist professors, G2: nonprofessor paediatric dentist specialists, and G3: other dentists not suitable to any of the previous groups), P < .05. B, Self-assessment of the aptitude for pulpotomy in relation to the specialties. C, Self-evaluation of aptitude search for updating about the topic.

DENTISTS SELF-EVALUATED ABILITY ON PULPOTOMY

Search mode Group	Does not seek update	Social media	Google	Congresses	Academic material
G1	1.099	8.791	13.187	20.879	100.000
G2	0.000	29.358	35.780	82.569	95.413
G3	18.519	19.907	27.315	31.944	75.463

Table 1 -	Distribution	of the differe	nt search mo	odes for u	odating ar	nongst the s	study of	grour	os
10010 1	2100100000	or the white			saaan sa	mongot une t	,		~

G1, paediatric dentist professors; G2, nonprofessor paediatric dentist specialists; G3, other dentists not suitable to any of the previous groups. *P* < .001.

Table 2 - Pulpotomy indication amongst groups.

Diagnoses that lead to pulpotomy indication Group	Teeth with extensive caries, seen only clinically	Teeth with extensive caries and involvement of the pulp chamber without periapical lesion, confirmed on radiograph	Accidental pulp exposure in cavity preparations	Traum	a Others
G1	4	47	54	47	6
G2	3	59	65	37	1
G3	9	109	7	59	0

G1, paediatric dentist professors; G2, nonprofessor paediatric dentist specialists; G3, other dentists not suitable to any of the previous groups.

and G2 mostly indicated the technique. Specialists in paediatric dentistry mostly self-reported to indicate pulpotomy more than those who have a specialisation in another area or do not have a specialisation (Figure B). Amongst the three groups, those who sought updates on the topic were those who self-reported to indicate pulpotomy (Figure C).

The association of updating search modes with the groups showed that all three groups used academic materials (Table 1). All G1 participants used academic materials, whilst G2 participants had a high demand for updates through congresses, and G3 through social media (Google and Google Scholar).

The association of pulpotomy indication with the groups (Table 2) found that G1 and G2 mostly chose the "accidental pulpal exposure", whilst G3 chose "Teeth with extensive caries and pulp chamber involvement without periapical lesion, confirmed on radiographs". All groups indicated that they would perform the technique for "Maintenance of the tooth in the arch in case of treatment success"(Table 3).

Discussion

The literature reports the most varied aspects of vital pulp therapies in primary teeth; however, the investigation on how the different profiles of dentists would self-evaluate their tendency towards the diagnosis and indication of vital

Table 3 - The main reason for nulnotomy indications

pulp therapies in primary teeth, especially pulpotomy, and how they would be updated on this topic makes this study innovative. 8,16,17

Amongst vital therapies for primary teeth, pulpotomy is the gold-standard procedure. But there is no consensus on how best to do so, a fact noted in the response to the question "What is the reason or diagnosis that leads to the indication of pulpotomy?", in which most of G1 and G2 answered "Accidental pulp exposure," whilst G3 answered "Teeth with extensive caries and involvement of the pulp chamber without periapical lesion, confirmed on radiography." This result demonstrates that those who work directly with paediatric dentistry tend to be more optimistic about the removal of the decayed tissue, opting for pulpotomy after accidental exposure, and other dentists were more invasive.^{8,16,18,19} The importance of maintaining the primary tooth in position until its physiologic exfoliation has consensus in the literature, which is in line with the response obtained when the groups were asked about the motivation for performing the pulpotomy. All groups answered, "Tooth maintenance in the arch in case of successful treatment."8

The correct diagnosis is known to be the most important part of the dentist's role, especially in pulp therapies. Paediatric dentistry professors and specialists mostly self-assessed yes to the question "Do you feel able (theoretically/technically) to indicate pulpotomy in primary teeth?" Similarly, in India, Nayak et al reported that paediatric dentists and

able 5 The main reason for purpolonly indications.						
Main reason Group	Eliminate pain	Maintenance of the tooth in the arch in case of treatment success	Only employed in specific cases, such as demonstration and specialisation	Others		
G1	20.454%	64.772%	3.409%	11.363%		
G2	17.171%	78.787%	2.020%	2.020%		
G3	22.448%	71.428%	1.360%	4.761%		

G1, paediatric dentist professors; G2, nonprofessor paediatric dentist specialists; G3, other dentists not suitable to any of the previous groups.

GARRIDO ET AL.

general practitioners act differently when asked about different procedures in primary teeth, with paediatric dentists showing more knowledge.^{18,19}

Science has an essential role in dentistry, especially in times of evidence-based dentistry. However, the way in which the different dentists sought such updates was significantly different, as observed through the response to the question "Select the different ways you use to be updated on vital pulp treatments." G1 mostly chose academic material, which was expected since this group is intimately involved in research on the subject.²⁰ In G2, about 65% use social media and the Google search tool, which highlighted the search for Portuguese instructions performed by experts in the area.^{20,21} It is also worth noting that most of the articles available in the databases have paid access,²² a fact that has a direct influence on the way this group is updated. In G3, about 18.5% of the participants do not seek updates on pulpotomy, probably because they were not executing this procedure in their daily routine. Amongst those who update themselves on the subject, approximately 47% use social media and the Google search tool, probably due to the same reason as in G2.^{20,22} These data are similar to the findings of Aldhilan and Al-Haj Ali in 2018,²³ which demonstrated that Saudi Arabian paediatric dentists tend to have more knowledge on primary dentition treatments when compared to general practitioners.^{18,19,23,24} Relating the search for updates with the self-assessed ability, it was observed that those who feel more able were also the ones who consume the most content on the subject, which suggests that the lack of self-assessed ability would relate to the lack of interest in being updated.24,25

Conclusions

The professionals who work directly with paediatric dentistry (professors or specialists) felt more capable of diagnosing and treating cases of pulpotomy in primary teeth. Although most of the professionals interviewed in the three groups used scientifically based sources, paediatric dentistry specialists and dentists in general should be aware of the importance of evidence-based dentistry. The low clinical utility of pulpotomy in G3 may be the due to the low interest in being updated on this topic.

Conflict of interest

None disclosed.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the participants for answering the study questionnaire. This work was supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) through a master's scholarship.

APPENDIX 1. Questionnaire used in the research

1 Educational Institution where you did your graduate (full name of the Higher Education Institution [HEI] and acronym): 2 Type of institution:

Public Private 3 What year did you graduate: 4 What is your greatest academic title? Graduation Specialisation Master's degree Doctoral degree 5 Do you have a specialisation? Yes No 5.1 Specialist title obtained Acupuncture Buccomaxillofacial Surgery and Traumatology Dentistry TMP and Orofacial Pain Endodontics Stomatology Orofacial Harmonisation Homeopathy Implantology Odontogeriatrics Paediatric Dentistry Sports Dentistry **Occupational Dentistry** Forensic Dentistry Dentistry for Patients With Special Needs Orthodontics Functional Jaw Orthopaedics Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology Periodontics Maxillofacial Prosthesis **Dental Prosthesis** Dental Radiology and Imaging Public Health 5.2 What year did you complete the specialisation? 5.3 Educational institution where you did the specialisation: 6 Do you work as dentist in a clinic outside an HEI? Yes No 6.1 If you answered YES in the previous question, this clinic is linked to what type of health service? Public Private Both 7 Are you a professor at any HEI? Yes No 7.1 In which HEI do you teach? 7.2 Which subject(s) do you teach? 7.3 Do you do scientific research at your HEI? Yes No

8 Do you feel able (theoretical/technically) to indicate pulpotomy in primary teeth?

Yes No

9 Select the different mode you use to update yourself on vital pulp treatments (you can select more than one option):

I don't seek updates about it

Lives on social media

Paediatric dentists' websites

Social media like Facebook and Instagram

Paediatric dentistry congresses

Search tools like Google

Google Scholar searches

Search for articles in database

Consensus books in paediatric dentistry

Dental books

Guidelines of national and international associations Others

10 What is the main reason you think it is important to indicate vital pulp treatments?

Elimination of pain

Maintenance of the tooth in the arch in case of successful treatment

Only used in specific cases, such as demonstration and specialisation

Others

11 What is the reason(s) or diagnosis(s) that lead you to indicate pulpotomy (you can select more than one option)?

Teeth with extensive caries, visualised only clinically Teeth with extensive caries and involvement of the pulp

chamber without periapical lesion, confirmed on radiograph Accidental pulp exposure in cavity preparations

Trauma

Others

12 Which capping material do you use as a first choice when performing a pulpotomy in primary teeth?

Formocresol 1:1

Buckley's formocresol 1:5

Calcium hydroxide

Mineral trioxide aggregate

Ferric sulfate

Others

13 What is your main choice criterion for the capping material of the previous question?

Cost of material

Ease of performing the technique

Biocompatibility of the material used

Clinical and radiographic success

14 Which of the new (experimental) technologies below would you adopt in the future to protect and assist in pulp regeneration (you can select more than one option)?

Photobiomodulation therapy

Deciduous tooth stem cells (SHED)

Electrocoagulators

Scaffolds with biomaterials

I don't know how to say

15 Would you perform radiographic control on a tooth submitted to pulpotomy?

Yes

No

15.1 If you answered YES in the previous question, what frequency of radiographic control would you use in a tooth undergoing pulpotomy (you can select more than one option)?

1 week to 1 month

1 month to 3 months

3 months to 6 months

6 months to 12 months

In every consultation until the exfoliation of this tooth

REFERENCES

- 1. Gimenez T, Bispo BA, Souza DP, et al. Does the decline in caries prevalence of Latin American and Caribbean children continue in the new century? Evidence from systematic review with meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 2016;11(10):e0164903.
- Dhar V, Marghalani AA, Crystal YO, et al. Use of vital pulp therapies in primary teeth with deep caries lesions. Pediatr Dent 2017;39(5):E146–59.
- Tedesco TK, Gimenez T, Floriano I, et al. Scientific evidence for the management of dentin caries lesions in pediatric dentistry: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 2018;13(11):e0206296.
- 4. Malmgren B, Andreasen JO, Flores MT, et al. International Association of Dental Traumatology guidelines for the management of traumatic dental injuries: 3. Injuries in the primary dentition. Dent Traumatol 2012;28(3):174–82.
- Borges TS, Vargas-Ferreira F, Kramer PF, Feldens CA. Impact of traumatic dental injuries on oral health-related quality of life of preschool children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 2017;12(2):e0172235..
- Lourenço Neto N, Marques NC, Fernandes AP, et al. Immunolocalization of dentin matrix protein-1 in human primary teeth treated with different pulp capping materials. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 2016;104(1):165–9.
- Lourenco-Neto N, Marques NCT, Prado MTO, et al. Histological and CD31 immunolocalization after pulp therapy using MTA or Portland cement. Int J Dentistry Oral Sci 2018;5(3):622–5.
- Gadallah L, Hamdy M, El Bardissy A, Abou El Yazeed M. Pulpotomy versus pulpectomy in the treatment of vital pulp exposure in primary incisors. A systematic review and metaanalysis. F1000Res 2018;7:1560.
- Pedano MS, Li X, Yoshihara K, Landuyt KV, Van Meerbeek B. Cytotoxicity and bioactivity of dental pulp-capping agents towards human tooth-pulp cells: a systematic review of invitro studies and meta-analysis of randomized and. controlled clinical trials. Materials 2020;13(12):2670.
- Yepes JF, Schweppe J, Jones J, et al. Success rates of pulpotomies performed by general dentists versus pediatric dentists: a claims data analysis. Pediatr Dent 2020;42(4):288–92.
- Coll JA, Seale NS, Vargas K, Marghalani AA, Al Shamali S, Graham L. Primary tooth vital pulp therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatr Dent 2017;39(1):16–123.
- 12. Marques NC, Neto NL, Rodini Cd O, et al. Low-level laser therapy as an alternative for pulpotomy in human primary teeth. Lasers Med Sci 2015;30(7):1815–22.
- 13. Nagendrababu V, Pulikkotil SJ, Veettil SK, Jinatongthai P, Gutmann JL. Efficacy of biodentine and mineral trioxide aggregate in primary molar pulpotomies-a systematic review and metaanalysis with trial sequential analysis of randomized clinical trials. J Evid Based Dent Pract 2019;19(1):17–27.
- 14. Shmueli A, Guelmann M, Tickotsky N, Ninio-Harush R, Noy AF, Moskovitz M. Blood gas tension and acidity level of caries exposed vital pulps in primary molars. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2020;44(6):418–22.
- Yong D, Cathro P. Conservative pulp therapy in the management of reversible and irreversible pulpitis. Aust Dent J 2021;66(suppl 1):S4–14.

- Bossù M, Iaculli F, Di Giorgio G, Salucci A, Polimeni A, Di Carlo S. Different pulp dressing materials for the pulpotomy of primary teeth: a systematic review of the literature. J Clin Med 2020;9(3):838.
- Chandran V, Ramanarayanan V, Menon M, Varma B, Sanjeevan V. Effect of LASER therapy Vs conventional techniques on clinical and radiographic outcomes of deciduous molar pulpotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Exp Dent 2020;12(6):e588–96.
- 18. Nayak UA, Wadhwa S, Kashyap N, Prajapati D, Mahuli AV, Sharma R. Knowledge and practice of, and attitudes toward, pulp therapy in deciduous teeth among pediatric dentists in India. J Investig Clin Dent 2018;9(1).
- **19.** Grindrod M, Barry S, Albadri S, Nazzal H. How is paediatric dentistry taught? A survey to evaluate undergraduate dental teaching in dental schools in the United Kingdom. Eur J Dent Educ 2020;24(4):715–23.
- **20.** Oliveira BH, Hyppolito IMD, Malheiros Z, Stewart B, Pannuti CM. Information-seeking behaviors and barriers to the incorporation of scientific evidence into clinical practice: a survey with Brazilian dentists. PLoS ONE 2021;16(3):e0249260.

- 21. Stanley JL, Hanson CL, Van Ness CJ, Holt L. Assessing evidence-based practice knowledge, attitudes, access and confidence among dental hygiene educators. J Dent Hyg 2016;90 (suppl 1):42.
- 22. Bodó B, Antal D, Puha Z. Can scholarly pirate libraries bridge the knowledge access gap? An empirical study on the structural conditions of book piracy in global and European academia. PLoS ONE 2020;15(12): e0242509.
- **23.** Aldhilan S, Al-Haj Ali S. Approaches used to care for carious primary molars among pediatric dentists and general dental practitioners in Saudi Arabia. J Clin Exp Dent 2018;10(3): e212–7.
- 24. Othman NI, Ismail HU, Mohammad N, Ghazali N, Alauddin MS. An evaluation on deep caries removal method and management performed by undergraduate dental students: a Malaysia experience. Eur J Dent 2021;9(10):123.
- 25. Crystal YO, Janal MN, Yim S, Nelson T. Teaching and utilization of silver diamine fluoride and Hall-style crowns in US pediatric dentistry residency programs. J Am Dent Assoc 2020;151(10):755–63.